

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/536,642	05/27/2005	David N. Roundhill	US020471US	4312	
94737 7590 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BEKELE, MEKONEN T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/536.642 ROUNDHILL ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MEKONEN BEKELE 2624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05/27/2005</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

1	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2	. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3	. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment/	

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/536,642 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 are pending in this application.

Priority

 Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C 119(e) is Acknowledge based on the Provisional Application Serial No. 60/430,226, filed on December 2, 2002.

Drawings

3. The Drawings filed on 05/27/2005 are accepted for examination.

Response to Amendment

- Applicants' response to the last Office Action filed on 11/26/2009 has been entered and made of record.
- Claims 1 and 12 are amended.
- In view of the Applicants' arguments and amendments, the rejection (claim 1) under 35
 U.S.C. 101 is expressly withdrawn.
- Applicants' amendment has required new grounds of rejection. New grounds rejection is therefore presented in the Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 12 regarding to Hatfield et al. have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/536,642 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

35 U.S.C. 101 Positive Statements

9. Given a reasonable interpretation in light of the specification and state of the relevant art, the "method of capturing an image using ultrasound system" inherently requires a particular machine, the step of "directing ultrasound wave from the ultrasound system" inherently requires a one or more processor embedded into the particular machine in order to direct the ultrasound wave, the step of "surveying the image to collect motion data" inherently requires a particular machine, the step of "segmenting the image into flow region and non flow region" inherently requires a particular machine. And all the remaining steps of claim 1 are requires calculators or on or more processors inherently tied to the particular machine.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained thought the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the difference between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatfield et al. (hereafter Hatfield), US Patent No. 5840032, published on November 24, 1998 in view of Lin et al. (here after Lin), US Patent No. 5957138 published on September 28, 1999.

As to claim 1, Hatfield teaches A method of capturing an image using an ultrasound system (Abstract, Method and apparatus for three-dimensional ultrasound imaging), comprising:

Art Unit: 2624

directing ultrasound waves from the ultrasound system to a body (Abstract, ultrasound scanner collects B-mode or color flow mode images. Thus, ultrasound scanner send ultrasound wave to a patient body to collect B-mode or color flow mode images data);

surveying the image to collect motion data (Abstract, An ultrasound scanner collects B-mode or color flow mode images in a cine memory, i.e., for a multiplicity of slices, where the color flow mode is typically used to detect the velocity (motion) of fluid flow.

Thus, the ultrasound scanner scans (survey) images to collect the velocity (motion) of fluid flow):

analyzing the motion data to identify a flow in the image (Abstract, Fig.1 element 4B, the color flow mode is typically used to detect the velocity (motion) of fluid flow. And the color flow (CF) processor 4B is used to provide a real-time two-dimensional image of blood velocity in the imaging plane by analyzing the output of the beamformer 2 data);

scanning a limited region of the image containing the flow with a flow imaging technique (col.4 lines 25-27, method and an apparatus for three-dimensional imaging by projecting ultrasound data acquired by scanning a volume of interest(limited region of the image). The ultrasound scanner collects B-mode or color flow mode images in a cine memory on a continuous basis);

However it is noted that Hatfield does not specifically teach "the analyzing comprising segmenting the image into a flow region and a non-flow region"; although Hatfield teaches a method of analyzing the motion of a blood flow using a color flow processor 4B.

Art Unit: 2624

On the other hand the method for generating 3D images of flow structures and their flow lumen using ultrasound techniques of Lin teaches the analyzing comprising segmenting the image into a flow region and a non-flow region (Fig. 2A step 200 and Fig.2B).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the method of generating a border between a flow region and a non-flow region using ultrasound techniques of Lin into the method for three- dimensional imaging using ultrasound techniques of Hatfield, because both Lin and Hatfield are directed to the method for three- dimensional imaging of flow structure using ultrasound technique (Hatfield: Fig.1, col. 1 lines 65-67, Lin: Abstract, Fig.2A-2C).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the technique of three-dimensional imaging of flow structures and their flow lumen using ultrasound imaging techniques of Lin into Hatfield, because the three-dimensional imaging of ultrasound data that includes color flow data of Hatfield (Fig.1, Abstract) can be interactively-controlled to provide several types of views of a flow structure and the interior of the flow structure itself. Thus, the interior of the flow structure, including any irregularities can be viewed. Wherein, such views are especially useful in detecting branches, plaque, intimal defects, stenosis, stents, and/or any other irregular regions in arteries, veins, or other vessels (Lin: col.7 lines 53-61).

As to claim 2, Hatfield teaches surveying step comprises the step of collecting a sample of color flow data (Abstract, An ultrasound scanner collects B-mode or color flow mode images in a cine memory, i.e., for a multiplicity of slices, where the color flow mode is typically used to detect the velocity (motion) of fluid flow)).

Art Unit: 2624

As to claim 3, Hatfield teaches surveying step comprises the step of collecting contour data (Abstract, col. 1 lines 15-28, An ultrasound scanner collects B-mode or color flow mode images, where the B- mode is used to image internal visual structure(contour), and color flow used to image flow characteristics, such as in blood vessels. Thus, ultrasound scanner scans (survey) the internal visual structure (contour) to collect contour data).

As to claim 4, Lin teaches the analyzing step generates a motion map that identifies flow and non-flow regions (Figs. 2B, 2C and 3A, for example Fig.2B shows a map that identifies a flow region 252 and a non-flow region 254).

As to claim 5, Hatfield teaches the flow imaging technique includes a technique selected from the group consisting of: color flow (Abstract, Fig. 1element 4B), time domain correlation (Abstract, the color flow velocity which is expressed in time domain, speckle tracking(col.6 lines 38-35, To prevent the selection of maximum intensities which are bright speckle as opposed to desired pixel data, a filter can be used to remove such bright speckle intensities prior to projection), strain imaging, pulse wave Doppler, and continuous wave Doppler (Abstract, col. 1 lines 15-28, Doppler, and color flow used to image flow characteristics, such as in blood vessels).

As to claim 6, Lin teaches the flow is associated with a valve in a heart (col. 2 lines 7-28, the flow lumen may approximate the physical structure enclosing a flow structure, such as, for example, the interior wall(s) of an artery, vein, or other vessel).

As to claim 7, Hatfield teaches the flow indicates a blood vessel (co. 1 lines 5-18).

Art Unit: 2624

As to claim 8, Hatfield teaches the scanning step uses multi-line beamforming (Fig. 1. element 2 col. 3 lines 39-42, Beamformer2, the beamformer2 has multiple channels).

As to claim 9, Lin teaches the flow is periodically tracked (Fig.5. the flow data can be periodically track under control of user) and the limited region of the image containing the flow is automatically adjusted (col.7 lines 44-50, the flow region can be automatically adjusted using Keyboard for instant by the user).

As to claim 10, Hatfield and Lin teaches the limited region for acquisition is a region selected from the group consisting of a 3D pie slice (Hatfield: co1.4 lines 25-28, a method for three-dimensional imaging by projecting ultrasound data acquired by scanning a volume of interest. The object volume is scanned using a multiplicity of parallel slices having a substantially uniform thickness, Lin: co1.6 lines 8-15),

Further Lin teaches a cube, an arbitrary shape and a collection of shapes (col. 2 lines 7-28, an artery, vein, or other vessel).

As to claim 11, Hatfield teaches the scanning step includes adjusting a set of acquisition parameters (Abstract, Fig. 1, col. 1 lines 44-53, the master controller 8 of the ultrasound imaging system accepts operator inputs through an operator)selected from the group consisting of b-mode line densities (Fig.1, the B-Mode Processor 4A process B-mode data and store the processed data in the B-mode Acoustic Line Memory14a) color flow line densities(Fig. 1, the Color Flow Processor 4B process the color flow data and store the processed color flow data in to a Color Acoustic Line Memory14B)pulse repetition

Art Unit: 2624

frequency (Abstract, Hatfield teaches ultrasound velocity, and the pulse repetition frequency (prf) is related to the ultrasound velocity. Since prf is defined as a transit time required for a plus to travel and back again at a given ultrasound velocity. Thus, Hatfield inherently teaches the pulse repetition frequency (prf)), and ensemble length(col. 1 lines 15-25, Hatfield teaches Doppler imaging system, wherein a Ensemble length by definition is the number of pulses emitted by a color Doppler imaging system to create a single line of Doppler data in the image).

As to claim 12, Hatfield teaches an ultrasound system (Abstract; Method and apparatus for three-dimensional ultrasound imaging), comprising:

a survey system for collecting motion data from a target image (Abstract, An ultrasound scanner collects B-mode or color flow mode images in a cine memory, i.e., for a multiplicity of slices, where the color flow mode is typically used to detect the velocity (motion) of fluid flow. Thus, the ultrasound scanner apparatus scans (survey) images to collect the velocity (motion) of fluid flow):

a segmentation system (co1.4 lines 26-30, apparatus for three-dimensional imaging by projecting ultrasound data acquired by scanning a volume of interest where he object volume is scanned using a multiplicity of parallel slices having a substantially uniform thickness) for mapping a region of flow within the image based on the motion data (Abstract, ultrasound scanner collects B-mode or color flow images in a cine memory, i.e., for a multiplicity of slices. Thus, the color flows image data mapped on multiplicity of parallel slices regions of the image);

a flow acquisition system (Fig. 4b, color flow processor) that automatically limits the collection of flow image data within the image to the region of flow(Abstract, Fig.1, co1.1 lines

Art Unit: 2624

65-67, the color flow (CF) processor 4B is used to provide a real-time two-dimensional image of blood velocity in the imaging plane).

However as discussed in claim 1 above Hatfield does not specifically teach "the segmentation system configured to segment the image into a flow region and a non-flow region"; although Hatfield suggests the detection of color flow region using a color flow processor.

On the other hand the method for generating 3D images of flow structures and their flow lumen using ultrasound techniques of Lin teaches the segmentation system configured to segment the image into a flow region and a non-flow region (Fig. 2A step 200 and Fig.2B).

Regarding claims 13-16, all claimed limitation are set forth and rejected as per discussion for claims 2, 3, 5 and 8 respectively.

Regarding claims 17-19, all claimed limitation are set forth and rejected as per discussion for claims 9-11 respectively.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2624

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2624

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Mekonen Bekele whose telephone number is 571-270-3915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:00AM to 5:50 PM Eastern Time. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor AHMED SAMIR can be reached on (571)272-7413. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-237-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private

Status information for unpublished application is available through Privet PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217-919 (tool-free)

/MEKONEN BEKELE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624 April 22, 2010. 'Brian Q Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624